arXiv:1609.03821v1 [math.AP] 13 Sep 2016

THE CONICAL COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS ON KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

JIAWEI LIU AND CHUANJING ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, by providing the uniform gradient estimates for a sequence of the approximating equations, we prove the existence, uniqueness and regularity of the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation with weak initial data. As an application, we prove a regularity estimates, that is, any L^∞-solution of the conical complex Monge-Ampère equation admits the $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$-regularity.

1. Introduction

The complex Monge-Ampère equation plays an important role in geometric analysis. It is well known that the existence of the Kähler-Einstein metrics and the solutions of the complex Monge-Ampère equations are closely connected. Aubin [1] and Yau [49] solved the Calabi's [5] conjecture by using the complex Monge-Ampère equation and Cao [4] gave a parabolic proof of this conjecture by using the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation which is equivalent to the Kähler-Ricci flow. By using the complex Monge-Ampère equation with conical singularity, Tian [44] and Chen-Donaldson-Sun [7, 8, 9] proved the Yau-Tian-Donaldson's conjecture. There are many results of the (parabolic) complex Monge-Ampère equation, we refer the reader to references Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg-Spruck [3], J.C. Chu [12], Dienw-Zhang-Zhang [13], B. Guan [16], Guan-Li [17, 18], P.F. Guan [19, 20, 21], Liu-Zhang [31], Phong and Sturm et al. [34, 35, 36, 37], G. Sźekelyhidi [38], Sźekelyhidi-Tosatti [39], G. Tian [43, 45], Tian-Zhu [46], Y. Wang [47], Y.Q. Wang [48] and X. Zhang [50], etc.

Let (M,ω_0) be a Kähler manifold. Assume that D is an irreducible divisor, s and h are the definition section and smooth Hermitian metric of the line bundle associated to the divisor D respectively. Denote the model conical Kähler metric $\omega_\beta = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\delta\partial\bar\partial|s|_h^{2\beta}$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(M,\omega_0) = \big\{\varphi \in PSH(M,\omega_0)| \int_M (\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi)^n = \int_M \omega_0^n\big\}. \tag{1.1}$$

For any smooth function $F : \mathbb{R}\times M \to \mathbb{R}$, we consider the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t} = \log\dfrac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F(\varphi(t),z) + (1-\beta)\log|s|_h^2, \\ \varphi(t)|_{t=0} = \varphi_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Key words and phrases. conical singularity, uniformly gradient estimates, complex Monge-Ampère equation.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C55, 32W20.

where $\beta \in (0,1)$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_p(M,\omega_0)$ with $p>1$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_p(M,\omega_0) = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(M,\omega_0) | \frac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n}{\omega_0^n} \in L^p(M,\omega_0^n)\big\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.1. *We call $\varphi(t)$ a solution of the equation (1.2) on $[0,T]$ $(0<T<\infty)$ if it satisfies the following conditions.*

- *$\varphi(t) \in C^0([0,T]\times M)\cap C^\infty((0,T]\times(M\setminus D))$ satisfies the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) on $(0,T]\times(M\setminus D)$;*
- *For any $0<\delta<T$, there exists a constant C such that*
$$C^{-1}\omega_\beta \leq \omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(t) \leq C\omega_\beta, \qquad \text{on } [\delta,T]\times(M\setminus D);$$
- *On $[\delta,T]$, there exist constants $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and C^* such that $\varphi(t)$ is C^α on M with respect to ω_0 and $\|\frac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty(M\setminus D)} \leqslant C^*$.*

We study the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) by using the following parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(t)}{\partial t} = \log\dfrac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\varepsilon(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F(\varphi_\varepsilon(t),z) + (1-\beta)\log(\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2), \\ \varphi_\varepsilon(0)=\varphi_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

We first prove the uniform gradient estimates for a sequence of smooth parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equations. In this process, we need construct a new auxiliary function, and we also need more details to deal with the terms which need not be considered for a single equation, such as the terms coming from the new auxiliary function and the curvature terms, etc. Then we study the existence of the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) by the approximating method which was used in [32]. We also prove the uniqueness and regularity of the equation (1.2) by using Jeffres' trick [26] and Tian's elliptic $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$-estimates [45] respectively. In fact, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M,ω_0) be a Kähler manifold with complex dimension n, D be an irreducible divisor, s and h be the definition section and smooth Hermitian metric of the line bundle associated to the divisor D respectively. Assume that $\varphi_0\in\mathcal{E}_p(M,\omega_0)$ with $p>1$. For any $\beta\in(0,1)$, there exists T such that the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) admits a unique solution $\varphi(t)$ on $[0,T]$. Furthermore, $\varphi(t)$ is $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha\in(0,\min\{1,\frac{1}{\beta}-1\})$ when $t\in(0,T]$.*

Remark 1.3. *When $F(\varphi,z)=\mu\varphi+h$ and $c_1(M)=\mu[\omega_0]+(1-\beta)c_1(D)$ with some function h, the equation (1.2) is the conical Kähler-Ricci flow which was studied in [10, 11, 15, 31, 32, 40, 41]. When $\beta=1$, there exists no singular terms in (1.2). Song-Tian [42] studied $F(z)$ case and Sźekelyhidi-Tosatti [39] studied the case with particular initial data. Here, we consider $\beta\in(0,1)$ and the general initial data.*

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following regularity estimates for the singular equation.

Theorem 1.4. *With the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, let $\varphi_0\in PSH(M,\omega_0)\bigcap L^\infty(M)$ be a solution of the conical complex Monge-Ampère equation*

$$(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0)^n = e^{-F(\varphi_0,z)}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|_h^{2(1-\beta)}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then $\varphi_0 \in C^{2,\alpha,\beta}(M)$.

Remark 1.5. *In* [39]*, Sźekelyhidi-Tosatti considered this problem in the smooth case* $\beta = 1$*. In their case, they can prove that* φ_0 *is smooth. In* [45]*, Tian obtained the* $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$*-regularity for* φ_0 *under the assumption that* $\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ *is equivalent to the model conical Kähler metric* ω_β*. Here we only assume that* $\varphi_0 \in PSH(M,\omega_0)\bigcap L^\infty(M)$*. When* $F(\varphi, z) = \mu\varphi + h$ *with smooth function* h*, this result is proved by Guenancia-Păun in* [23]*. In our case, we need the uniform gradient estimates which do not be needed in Guenancia-Păun's case for the sequence of approximating equations.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove the uniform gradient estimates for the sequence of the approximating equations, and then we prove the existence and uniqueness of the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.4). In section 3, we prove the existence of the solution to the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) by limiting the equations (1.4), and prove that $\varphi(t)$ converges to φ_0 in L^∞-norm as $t \to 0^+$. We also prove the uniqueness and regularity of the conical equation (1.2). At last, we prove Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank their advisors Professor Jiayu Li and Professor Xi Zhang for providing many suggestions and encouragements. The first author also would like to thank Professor Xiaohua Zhu for his constant help.

2. The existence of the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation with weak initial data

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.4) by following the arguments in [32, 42]. The differences are that we need prove the uniform gradient estimates for the sequence of the approximating equations in this case. In [39], Székelyhidi and Tosatti proved the gradient estimate for a single parabolic Monge-Ampère equation. But when we prove the uniform gradient estimates for a sequence of parabolic Monge-Ampère equations, we need more details to deal with the terms which need not be considered for a single equation.

We denote $f = \frac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0)^n}{\omega_0^n} \in L^p(M,\omega_0^n)$ for some $p > 1$. By considering the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{0,j})^n = f_j\omega_0^n \tag{2.1}$$

and using the stability theorem in [29] (see also [14] or [22]), we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\varphi_{0,j} - \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\varphi_{0,j} \in PSH(M,\omega_0) \cap C^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\sup_M(\varphi_0 - \varphi_{0,j}) = \sup_M(\varphi_{0,j} - \varphi_0)$, $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\int_M f_j\omega_0^n = \int_M \omega_0^n$ and $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|f_j - f\|_{L^p(M)} = 0$.

We prove the existence of the solution to the equation (1.4) by using the smooth parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\partial t} = \log\dfrac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t), z) + (1-\beta)\log(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(0) = \varphi_{0,j}, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

which can be written as

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\partial t} = \log \dfrac{(\omega_\varepsilon + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n}{\omega_\varepsilon^n} + F(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) + \delta\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), z) + f_\varepsilon, \\ \phi_{\varepsilon,j}(0) = \varphi_{0,j} - \delta\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2) := \phi_{\varepsilon,0,j}, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \delta\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)$, $\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2) = \frac{1}{\beta}\int_0^{|s|_h^2} \frac{(\varepsilon^2+r)^\beta - \varepsilon^{2\beta}}{r} dr$, $\omega_\varepsilon = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\delta\partial\overline{\partial}\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)$, $f_\varepsilon = \log(\frac{\omega_\varepsilon^n}{\omega_0^n} \cdot (\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)^{1-\beta})$. We know that $\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)$ and f_ε are uniformly bounded (see (15) and (25) in [6]).

Let $[0, T_{\varepsilon,j})$ be the maximal existence interval of the equation (2.4), where $T_{\varepsilon,j}$ depends on the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm of $\phi_{\varepsilon,0,j}$. Our aim is to obtain the uniform high order estimates, which only depend on the initial condition in a weaker way. For all s, we define

$$\overline{F}(s) = \max_{\substack{\varepsilon\in[0,1] \\ z\in M}} F(s + \delta\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), z) + C, \tag{2.5}$$

which is a continuous function independent of ε and j, where C satisfies $|f_\varepsilon| \leq C$. Let $G(t)$ be the solution of equation

$$\begin{cases} G'(t) = \overline{F}(G(t)) \\ G(0) = A \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

on $[0, \overline{T}]$, where $\|\phi_{\varepsilon,0,j}\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leqslant A$ for any ε and j. Denote $\overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j} = \min\{T_{\varepsilon,j}, \overline{T}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $0 < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β, n, ω_0, $\overline{T}$ and F such that for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\|\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let z_t be the maximum point of $\phi_{\varepsilon,j}$ at time t.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t}(t, z_t) =& \log \frac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t, z_t))^n}{\omega_\varepsilon^n} \\ &+ F(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t, z_t) + \delta\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), z_t) + f_\varepsilon(z_t) \\ \leq& \overline{F}(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t, z_t)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

If the derivative does not exist at some point, we consider the limsup of the forward difference quotients at these points (Hamilton [24]). By the maximum principle (see Morgan-Tian [33]), we have $\max_M \phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq G(t)$, where the choice of function G is independent of ε and j. Hence there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β, n, ω_0, $\overline{T}$ and F such that $\max_{M\times[0,T]} \phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq C$ for any $0 < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and j. By the similar arguments we get the lower bound. □

Proposition 2.2. *For any $0 < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, F, $\overline{T}$ and ω_0 such that for any $t \in (0, T]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\frac{t^n}{C} \leq \frac{(\omega_\varepsilon + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n}{\omega_\varepsilon^n} \leq e^{\frac{C}{t}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof: Let $\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}$ be the Laplacian operator associated to the Kähler form $\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = \omega_\varepsilon + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j} = F'(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) + k\chi(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), z)\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $H^+_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = t\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - A\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$. Then

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H^+_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = (1 + F't - A)\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j} + An - A\mathrm{tr}_{\,\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega_\varepsilon. \tag{2.11}$$

Let (t_0, x_0) be the maximum point of $H^+_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $[0,T]\times M$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $t_0 > 0$ and $\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t_0, x_0) > 0$. By the maximum principle,

$$\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq \frac{C}{t} \quad on \quad (0,T]\times M. \tag{2.12}$$

Let $H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = \dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t) + B\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - n\log t$. Then $H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ tends to $+\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$ and

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = (F' + B)\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j} + B\mathrm{tr}_{\,\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega_\varepsilon - Bn - \frac{n}{t}. \tag{2.13}$$

Assume that (t_0, x_0) is the minimum point of $H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $[0,T]\times M$. We conclude that $t_0 > 0$ and there exists constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that, at (t_0, x_0),

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|_{(t_0,x_0)} &\geq \big(C_1(\frac{\omega^n_\varepsilon}{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)})^{\frac{1}{n}} + C_2\log\frac{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\omega^n_\varepsilon} - \frac{C_3}{t}\big)|_{(t_0,x_0)}\\ &\geq (\frac{C_1}{2}(\frac{\omega^n_\varepsilon}{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)})^{\frac{1}{n}} - \frac{C_3}{t})|_{(t_0,x_0)},\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where the constant C_1 depends only on n, C_2 depends only on F and C_3 depends only on n, ω_0, $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β, F and $\overline{T}$. In inequality (2.14), without loss of generality, we assume that $\frac{\omega^n_\varepsilon}{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)} > 1$ and $\frac{C_1}{2}(\frac{\omega^n_\varepsilon}{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)})^{\frac{1}{n}} + C_2\log\frac{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\omega^n_\varepsilon} \geq 0$ at (t_0, x_0). By the maximum principle, we have

$$\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t_0, x_0) \geq C_4 t^n \omega^n_\varepsilon(x_0), \tag{2.15}$$

where C_4 is independent of ε and j. Then it easily follows that $H^-_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ is bounded from below by a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, F and $\overline{T}$. □

Next, we prove the uniform gradient estimates for equation (2.4).

Proposition 2.3. *For any $0 < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, F, ω_0 and $\overline{T}$ such that for any $t \in (0,T]$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and j,*

$$|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|_{\omega_\varepsilon} \leq e^{e^{\frac{C}{t}}}. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. We modify Blocki's estimates [2] for the complex Monge-Ampère equation (compare Hanani [25] and Székelyhidi-Tosatti [39]). Define

$$H_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\log|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon} - \gamma(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}) + At\log t + B\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}, \tag{2.17}$$

where function γ, constants α, A and B will be chosen later and $\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho}\int_0^{|s|^2_h}\frac{(\varepsilon^2+r)^\rho - \varepsilon^{2\rho}}{r}dr$. Let (t_0, x_0) be the maximum point of $H_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $[0,T]\times M$, we need only consider $t_0 > 0$. We choose a local coordinate system $w = (w_1, ..., w_n)$, to make $(g_{\varepsilon i\bar{j}})$ be

identity and $(g_{\phi_{\varepsilon,j}i\bar{k}})$ be a diagonal matrix. For simplicity, we write $\Phi = |\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon}$ and $\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) = \phi$. Straightforward calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H_{\varepsilon,j}(t) &= \frac{\alpha}{t^2}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\log|\nabla\phi|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon} + A\log t + A - B\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}} - \gamma'\dot{\phi}\\ &\quad - \sum_{j,k,p} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\frac{R_{\varepsilon j\bar{k}p\bar{p}}\phi_j\phi_{\bar{k}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_j \frac{2ReF'\phi_j\phi_{\bar{j}}}{\Phi} + \gamma''|\nabla\phi|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + \gamma' n\\ &\quad + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\delta\sum_j \frac{2ReF'\chi_j\phi_{\bar{j}}}{\Phi} + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_j \frac{2Ref_{\varepsilon j}\phi_{\bar{j}}}{\Phi} + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_j \frac{2ReF_j\phi_{\bar{j}}}{\Phi}\\ &\quad - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_{j,p}\frac{|\phi_{jp}|^2}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_p \frac{|\phi_{p\bar{p}}|^2}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} + \frac{\alpha}{t^2}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}B\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho} - \gamma'\mathrm{tr}_{\,\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega_\varepsilon\\ &\quad + \sum_p \frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}(\gamma')^2|\phi_p|^2 + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}B^2|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho p}|^2 - 2B\gamma' Re\phi_p\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where F' is the derivative of $F(\phi, z)$ in the ϕ variable and F_k is the derivative of $F(\phi, z)$ in the z variable. At (x_0, t_0), we have

$$\sum_k \phi_{kp}\phi_{\bar{k}} = e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}\Phi\phi_p\gamma' - B\Phi\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho p} - \phi_p\phi_{p\bar{p}}. \tag{2.19}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_{k,p}\frac{|\phi_{kp}|^2}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} &\geq e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_p \frac{|e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}\Phi\phi_p\gamma' - B\Phi\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho p} - \phi_p\phi_{p\bar{p}}|^2}{\Phi^2(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})}\\ &\geq e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_p\frac{\gamma'^2|\phi_p|^2}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}} + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_p\frac{B^2|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho p}|^2}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}} - \sum_p\frac{2B\gamma' Re\phi_p\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}}\\ &\quad - \sum_p\frac{2\gamma'|\phi_p|^2\phi_{p\bar{p}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} - \sum_p\frac{2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}BRe\phi_p\phi_{p\bar{p}}\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})},\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where we assume that $\gamma' > 0$, $e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2t_0}}\log\Phi(x_0, t_0) \geq C$ for some constant C which will be determined later, and hence $\Phi(x_0, t_0) \geq 1$. By computing, we have

$$|\nabla\chi|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon} \leq C\big(\frac{(\varepsilon^2 + |s|^2_h)^\beta - \varepsilon^{2\beta}}{\beta|s|^2_h}\big)^2|s|^2_h(\varepsilon^2 + |s|^2_h)^{1-\beta}|D's|^2_h + C, \tag{2.21}$$

where constant C is uniform. Since the function

$$F(x, y) = \frac{((x^2+y^2)^\beta - x^{2\beta})^2}{y^2}(x^2+y^2)^{1-\beta}$$

is bounded on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $|\nabla\chi|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_p\Big(\frac{e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}(\gamma')^2|\phi_p|^2 + e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}B^2|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho p}|^2 - 2B\gamma' Re\phi_p\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}}{u_{p\bar{p}}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\frac{|\phi_{p\bar{p}}|^2}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})}\Big)\\ \leq&\sum_p\frac{2Be^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}|\phi_{p\bar{p}}||\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}|}{\sqrt{\Phi}(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})} + \sum_p\frac{2\gamma'|\phi_p|^2\phi_{p\bar{p}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})}\\ \leq&2\gamma' n + 2B^2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\frac{|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}|^2}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}|\phi_{p\bar{p}}|^2}{2\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})},\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\sum_k \frac{Re f_{\varepsilon k}\phi_{\bar{k}}}{\Phi} &\leq 2\sum_k \frac{\sum_l(1+\phi_{l\bar{l}})}{\sqrt{\Phi}\sqrt{\sum_l(1+\phi_{l\bar{l}})}}\cdot\frac{|f_{\varepsilon k}|}{\sqrt{\sum_l(1+\phi_{l\bar{l}})}} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{K\Phi}\sum_l\frac{1+|\phi_{l\bar{l}}|^2}{1+\phi_{l\bar{l}}}+K\sum_k\frac{|f_{\varepsilon k}|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}. \qquad (2.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing K large enough and putting (2.20)-(2.23) into (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H_{\varepsilon,j}(t) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{t^2}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\log\Phi+(A-C\gamma')\log t+C\gamma'-Be^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho} \\
&\quad -e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_{k,l,p}\frac{R_{l\bar{k}p\bar{p}}\phi_l\phi_{\bar{k}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})}+Ke^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\sum_k\frac{|f_{\varepsilon k}|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+2B^2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t}}\frac{|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}|^2}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}} \qquad (2.24)\\
&\quad +(C-\gamma')\sum_k\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+\gamma''\sum_k\frac{|\phi_k|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+C.
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-B\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho}-\sum_{k,l,p}\frac{R_{l\bar{k}p\bar{p}}\phi_l\phi_{\bar{k}}}{\Phi(1+\phi_{p\bar{p}})}+K\sum_k\frac{|f_{\varepsilon k}|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+2B^2\frac{|\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho\bar{p}}|^2}{1+\phi_{p\bar{p}}} \\
\leq\ & B\sum_k\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}-B\sum_k\frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2+|z^n|^2)^{1-\rho}}|\frac{\partial z^n}{\partial w^k}|^2\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}} \\
&+2B^2\sum_k\frac{((\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2)^\rho-\varepsilon^{2\rho})^2}{|s|_h^2}|\frac{\partial z^n}{\partial\omega^k}|^2\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}} \qquad (2.25)\\
&+C\sum_k\frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2+|z^n|^2)^{\tilde{\beta}}}|\frac{\partial z^n}{\partial w^k}|^2\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\beta}\in\{\beta,1-\beta,2\beta-1,1-2\beta\}$. Set $\theta\in[0,\frac{\pi}{2})$, $\varepsilon=r\cos\theta$, $|s|_h=r\sin\theta$ and $r\in(0,1)$. Then

$$
\frac{((\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2)^\rho-\varepsilon^{2\rho})^2}{|s|_h^2}=\frac{r^{4\rho}(1-\cos^{2\rho}\theta)^2}{r^2\sin^2\theta}. \qquad (2.26)
$$

It is obvious that

$$
\frac{r^{4\rho}(1-\cos^{2\rho}\theta)^2}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\leq C_1\frac{r^{2\rho}}{r^{2(1-\rho)}}. \qquad (2.27)
$$

We first confirm $B\geq 2C$ in (2.25). Fix a neighbourhood U of divisor D such that

$$
2BC_1r^{2\rho}<\frac{1}{2}\ \ in\ \ U. \qquad (2.28)
$$

We need only consider the case $x_0\in U$. By $1-\rho>\tilde{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H_{\varepsilon,j}(t) &\leq e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2t}}\log\Phi+(A-C\gamma')\log t+C\gamma'+C \\
&\quad +(C-\gamma')\sum_k\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+\gamma''\sum_k\frac{|\phi_k|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\gamma(s)=D's-\frac{1}{D'}s^2$. If we choose D' large enough and A satisfying $A-C\gamma'\geq 0$,

$$
\sum_k\frac{1}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}+\sum_k\frac{|\phi_k|^2}{1+\phi_{k\bar{k}}}\leq 2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2t}}\log\Phi, \qquad (2.29)
$$

so in particular $(1+\phi_{k\bar{k}})^{-1} \leq 2e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2t}} \log \Phi$ for any k. By (2.9), we know that

$$1+\phi_{k\bar{k}} \leq Ce^{\frac{C-\alpha(n-1)}{2t}} (\log \Phi)^{n-1}, \quad k=1,\cdots,n. \tag{2.30}$$

If we choose α large enough, we have

$$1+\phi_{k\bar{k}} \leq C(\log \Phi)^{n-1}, \quad k=1,\cdots,n. \tag{2.31}$$

By (2.29), we conclude that at (x_0,t_0),

$$\Phi \leq C(\log \Phi)^{n}, \quad k=1,\cdots,n. \tag{2.32}$$

This shows that $\Phi(t_0,x_0) \leq C$ and in turn $H_{\varepsilon,j}(t_0,x_0)$ are uniform bounded by some uniform constant. Hence there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, F and $\overline{T}$ such that

$$|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon} \leq e^{e^{\frac{C}{t}}}. \tag{2.33}$$

Lemma 2.4. *For any $0<T<\overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, there exists a constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, F and $\overline{T}$ such that for any $t\in(0,T]$ and $\varepsilon>0$,*

$$e^{-e^{e^{\frac{C}{t}}}} \omega_\varepsilon \leq \omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq e^{e^{e^{\frac{C}{t}}}} \omega_\varepsilon. \tag{2.34}$$

Proof: Let $\omega_{\varepsilon,j}=\omega_\varepsilon+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$. By computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\log \mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}\\
=&\frac{1}{\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\big(\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}(\dot{\varphi}-\log\frac{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}}{\omega^n_\varepsilon})+R_{\omega_\varepsilon}\big)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}(g^{p\bar{q}}_{\varepsilon,j}g_{\varepsilon,j\ m\bar{k}}R^{\bar{m}k}_{\omega_\varepsilon\ p\bar{q}})\\
&+\frac{g^{\delta\bar{k}}_{\varepsilon,j}\partial_\delta \mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}\partial_{\bar{k}}\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}{(\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j})^2}-\frac{g^{\gamma\bar{s}}_\varepsilon\varphi_{\gamma\ t}^{\ p}\varphi_{\bar{s}t}^{\ p}}{\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

At the same time, by using Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}F(\phi_{\varepsilon,j}+k\chi,z)=&F'(\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}\phi_{\varepsilon,j}+k\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}\chi)+\Delta_{\omega_\varepsilon}F\\
&+CF''(|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon}+k|\nabla\chi|^2_{\omega_\varepsilon})+2|F'_k|_{\omega_\varepsilon}(|\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_\varepsilon}+k|\nabla\chi|_{\omega_\varepsilon})\\
\leq& Ce^{e^{\frac{C}{t}}}+C\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varphi_\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Then by the similar arguments as that in Lemma 2.3 [32], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})(e^{-e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}}\log \mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}+e^{-e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}}\Psi_{\varepsilon\rho}-A\phi_{\varepsilon,j})\\
\leq&-\frac{A}{4}\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega_\varepsilon-C\log t+C.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

By the maximum principle and the inequality

$$tr_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(tr_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}\omega_\varepsilon)^{n-1}\frac{\omega^n_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\omega^n_\varepsilon}, \tag{2.38}$$

we conclude

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\varepsilon}\omega_{\varepsilon,j} \leq e^{h_C(t)}, \tag{2.39}$$

where $h_\alpha(t)=e^{e^{\frac{\alpha}{t}}}$. Using the inequality (2.38) again, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\omega_\varepsilon \leq e^{h_C(t)}, \tag{2.40}$$

where the constant C depends only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, F and $\overline{T}$. From (2.39) and (2.40), we prove the lemma. □

By Lemma 2.4 and the fact that $\omega_\varepsilon > \gamma\omega_0$ for some uniform constant γ (see inequality (24) in [6]), we have

$$e^{-h_C(t)}\omega_0 \leq \omega_{\varepsilon,j} \leq C_\varepsilon e^{h_C(t)}\omega_0 \tag{2.41}$$

on $(0,T]\times M$, where C is a uniform constant and C_ε depends on ε. We next prove the Calabi's C^3-estimates. Denote

$$S_{\varepsilon,j} = |\nabla_{\omega_0}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)} = g^{i\bar{m}}_{\varepsilon,j}g^{k\bar{l}}_{\varepsilon,j}g^{p\bar{q}}_{\varepsilon,j}\nabla_{0i}(g_{\varepsilon,j})_{k\bar{q}}\overline{\nabla}_{0m}(g_{\varepsilon,j})_{p\bar{l}}. \tag{2.42}$$

Lemma 2.5. *For any $0 < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants C_ε and C such that for any $t \in (0,T]$, we have*

$$S_{\varepsilon,j} \leq C_\varepsilon e^{h_C(t)}, \tag{2.43}$$

where constant C depends only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, F and $\overline{T}$, and constant C_ε depends in addition on ε.

Proof: Straightforward calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})S_{\varepsilon,j}(t) =& - |\nabla X|^2_{\varepsilon,j} - |\overline{\nabla} X|^2_{\varepsilon,j} + 2Re\langle \nabla T - \nabla R_{m\omega_0}, X\rangle_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} \\ &+ X^i_{pk}\overline{X^j_{ql}}(T^{p\bar{q}}g_{\varphi_\varepsilon i\bar{j}}g^{k\bar{l}}_{\varphi_\varepsilon} - g^{p\bar{q}}_{\varphi_\varepsilon}T_{i\bar{j}}g^{k\bar{l}}_{\varphi_\varepsilon} + g^{p\bar{q}}_{\varphi_\varepsilon}g_{\varphi_\varepsilon i\bar{j}}T^{k\bar{l}}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

where $X^k_{il} = (\nabla_i h \cdot h^{-1})^k_l$, $h^i{}_k = g_0^{i\bar{j}}g_{\varphi_\varepsilon \bar{j}k}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}T_{i\bar{j}} =& (\frac{\partial g_{\varphi_\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + Ric(g_{\varphi_\varepsilon}))_{i\bar{j}} \\ =& Ric(g_0)_{i\bar{j}} + \log(\varepsilon^2 + |s|^2_h)_{i\bar{j}} + F_{i\bar{j}} + F''\varphi_i\varphi_{\bar{j}} + F'_i\varphi_{\bar{j}} + F'_{\bar{j}}\varphi_i + F'\varphi_{i\bar{j}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_m T_{i\bar{j}} &= \nabla_{0m}T_{i\bar{j}} - X^s_{ml}T_{s\bar{j}}, \\ \nabla_{\varphi_\varepsilon p}R_0{}^{\beta}{}_{l\bar{q}m} &= \nabla_{0p}R_0{}^{\beta}{}_{l\bar{q}m} + X^\beta_{ps}R_0{}^{s}{}_{l\bar{q}m} - X^s_{pl}R_0{}^{\beta}{}_{s\bar{q}m} - X^s_{pm}R_0{}^{\beta}{}_{l\bar{q}s},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})S_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq& - |\nabla_{\varphi_\varepsilon} X|^2_{\varepsilon,j} - |\overline{\nabla}_{\varphi_\varepsilon} X|^2_{\varepsilon,j} + C_\varepsilon h_C(t)e^{h_C(t)}S_{\varepsilon,j} \\ &+ C_\varepsilon h_C(t)e^{h_C(t)} + |\varphi_{ij}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Combining with the following evolution equations

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega_{\varepsilon,j} \leq - e^{-h_C(t)}S_{\varepsilon,j} + C_\varepsilon h_C(t)e^{h_C(t)}, \tag{2.47}$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})|\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_0} \leq C_\varepsilon h_C(t)e^{h_C(t)} - e^{-h_C(t)}\sum_{j,p}\frac{|\varphi_{jp}|^2}{(1+\varphi_{p\bar{p}})(1+\varphi_{j\bar{j}})}, \tag{2.48}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})(e^{-h_\alpha(t)}S_{\varepsilon,j} + A_\varepsilon e^{-h_\beta(t)}\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega_{\varepsilon,j} + e^{-h_\gamma(t)}|\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_0}) \\ \leq& Ch_C(t)e^{-h_C(t)} + e^{-h_C(t)} + C_\varepsilon e^{-\frac{h_\alpha(t)}{2}}S_{\varepsilon,j} - A_\varepsilon e^{-2h_\beta(t)}S_{\varepsilon,j} \\ &+ e^{-h_\alpha(t)}|\varphi_{ij}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} - e^{-h_C(t)-h_\gamma(t)}|\varphi_{ij}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

By choosing suitable α, β and γ and using the maximum principle, we have

$$S_{\varepsilon,j} \leq C_\varepsilon e^{h_\alpha(t)}, \tag{2.50}$$

where C_ε is independent of j and $h_\alpha(t)$ is independent of ε, j.

By using the Schauder regularity theory and equation (2.3), we get the high order estimates of $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$.

Proposition 2.6. *For any $0 < \eta < T < \overline{T}_{\varepsilon,j}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, there exists a constant C_k depending only on η, $\overline{T}$, ε, k, n, β, ω_0, F and $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, such that*

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{C^k\left([\eta,T]\times M\right)} \leq C_k. \tag{2.51}$$

Proposition 2.7. *There exists a uniform T such that the equation (2.3) admits a unique solution on $[0,T]\times M$ for any ε and j. Furthermore,*

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} \leq e^{\beta T}\|\varphi_{0,j} - \varphi_{0,l}\|_{L^\infty(M)}. \tag{2.52}$$

In particular, $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{j,l\to\infty} \|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} = 0. \tag{2.53}$$

Proof. Let $T_{\varepsilon,j}$ be the maximal existence interval of the equation (2.3). We prove that $T_{\varepsilon,j} \geq \overline{T}$ for any ε and j. If $T_{\varepsilon,j} < \overline{T}$, by Proposition 2.6, we obtain C^∞-estimates for $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $[0,T_{\varepsilon,j})$. Hence as $t \to T_{\varepsilon,j}$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ converge in C^∞ to a smooth function $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(T_{\varepsilon,j})$ and thus we obtain a smooth solution to the equation (2.3) on $[0,T_{\varepsilon,j}]$. But we can always find a smooth solution of the equation (2.3) on some, possibly short, time interval with any initial Kähler potential. Applying this to $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(T_{\varepsilon,j})$, we obtain a solution of the equation (2.3) on $[0,T_{\varepsilon,j}+\bar{\varepsilon})$ for $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. But this contradicts the definition of $T_{\varepsilon,j}$. Then $T_{\varepsilon,j} \geq \overline{T}$. Therefore, we conclude that there exists a uniform T such that the equation (2.3) admits a solution on $[0,T]\times M$ for any ε and j. Next, we prove (2.52).

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \qquad \textit{for any } t \in [0,T], \varepsilon > 0 \textit{ and } j \in \mathbb{N}^+. \tag{2.54}$$

Set $\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) = \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)}{\partial t} =& \log \frac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t))^n}{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t))^n} \\ &+ F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t), z) - F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t), z).\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

Since

$$|F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t), z) - F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t), z)| \leq K|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)|, \tag{2.56}$$

where K only depends on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}, \omega_0, \beta, n, F$ and T,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)}{\partial t} \leq \log \frac{(\omega_{\varepsilon,l} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t))^n}{\omega_{\varepsilon,l}^n} + K|\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)|. \tag{2.57}$$

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) = e^{-Kt}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) - \delta t$. Assume that the maximum point of $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)$ is (t_0, x_0). If $t_0 = 0$, then

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) \leq e^{KT}\sup_M \Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(0) + \delta T e^{KT}. \tag{2.58}$$

If $t_0 > 0$ and $\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$, we have

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) \leq \delta T e^{KT}. \tag{2.59}$$

If $t_0 > 0$ and $\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t_0, x_0) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{-Kt}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) - \delta t) \\
&\leq -Ke^{-Kt}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) + e^{-Kt}\log\frac{(e^{-Kt}\omega_{\varepsilon,l} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(e^{-Kt}\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) - \delta t))^n}{(e^{-Kt}\omega_{\varepsilon,l})^n} \\
&\quad + Ke^{-Kt}|\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)| - \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

By the maximum principle, at (t_0, x_0), we have $0 \leq \frac{\partial\widetilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon,j,l}}{\partial t}(t_0, x_0) \leq -\delta$, which is impossible. Hence

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) \leq e^{KT}\|\varphi_{0,j} - \varphi_{0,l}\|_{L^\infty} + \delta T e^{KT}. \tag{2.61}$$

By the same arguments, we can get the lower bound of $\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t)$,

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,j,l}(t) \geq -e^{KT}\|\varphi_{0,j} - \varphi_{0,l}\|_{L^\infty(M)} - \delta T e^{KT}. \tag{2.62}$$

Combining (2.61) and (2.62), we have

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} \leq e^{KT}\|\varphi_{0,j}(t) - \varphi_{0,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty(M)} + \delta T e^{KT}. \tag{2.63}$$

Let $\delta \to 0$, we have

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} \leq e^{KT}\|\varphi_{0,j}(t) - \varphi_{0,l}(t)\|_{L^\infty(M)}. \tag{2.64}$$

By the similar arguments as above, we know that the solution to equation (2.4) must be unique. □

By (2.53), $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ converges to $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in L^\infty([0,T]\times M)$ uniformly in $L^\infty([0,T]\times M)$-topology. For any $0 < \delta < T$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ is uniformly bounded (depends on ε) in $C^\infty([\delta, T]\times M)$. Therefore $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ converges to $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ in $C^\infty([\delta,T]\times M)$-topology. Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in C^\infty((0,T]\times M)$.

Proposition 2.8. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) \in C^0([0,T]\times M)$ and*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\|\varphi_\varepsilon(t) - \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0. \tag{2.65}$$

Proof: For any $(t, z) \in (0, T]\times M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_\varepsilon(t,z) - \varphi_0(z)| &\leq |\varphi_\varepsilon(t,z) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z)| + |\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z) - \varphi_{0,j}(z)| \\
&\quad + |\varphi_{0,j}(z) - \varphi_0(z)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

Since $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty([0,T]\times M)$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|\varphi_\varepsilon(t,z) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} = 0. \tag{2.67}$$

From (4.4), we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|\varphi_{0,j}(z) - \varphi_0(z)\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0. \tag{2.68}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that for any $j > N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{[0,T]\times M}|\varphi_\varepsilon(t,z) - \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \\
\sup_M|\varphi_{0,j}(z) - \varphi_0(z)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, fixed such j, there exists $0 < \delta < T$ such that

$$\sup_{[0,\delta]\times M}|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z) - \varphi_{0,j}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \tag{2.69}$$

Combining the above estimates together, for any $t \in [0, \delta]$ and $z \in M$,

$$|\varphi_\varepsilon(t,z) - \varphi_0(z)| < \epsilon. \tag{2.70}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 2.9. *$\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ is the unique solution to the parabolic Monge-Ampère equation (1.4) in the space of $C^0\big([0,T]\times M\big)\cap C^\infty\big((0,T]\times M\big)$.*

Proof: By proposition 2.8, we only need to prove the uniqueness. Suppose there exists another solution $\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t) \in C^0\big([0,T]\times M\big)\cap C^\infty\big((0,T]\times M\big)$ to the Monge-Ampère equation (1.4). Let $\psi_\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t) - \varphi_\varepsilon(t)$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi_\varepsilon(t)}{\partial t} = \log \frac{\left(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\varepsilon(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon(t)\right)^n}{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\varepsilon(t))^n} + F(\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t),z) - F(\varphi_\varepsilon(t),z), \\ \\ \psi_\varepsilon(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the same arguments as that in the proof of Proposition 2.7,

$$\|\psi_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} \leq e^{\beta T}\|\psi_\varepsilon(0)\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0.$$

Hence $\psi_\varepsilon(t) = 0$, that is, $\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t) = \varphi_\varepsilon(t)$. □

3. The existence of the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation with weak initial data

In this section, we study the existence of the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) by the smooth approximation of equations (1.4). We also prove the uniqueness and regularity of the equation (1.2).

By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7, we conclude that there exist constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β, n, ω_0, F and T, such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times M)} \leq C_1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$e^{-hC_2(t)}\omega_\varepsilon \leq \omega_\varepsilon(t) \leq e^{hC_2(t)}\omega_\varepsilon \ \ on\ (0,T]\times M. \tag{3.2}$$

We first prove the local uniform Calabi's C^3-estimates and curvature estimates along the equation (2.3). Our proofs are similar as that in Section 2 of [32], but we need some arguments to handle the terms from F.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $0 < \eta < T$ and $B_r(p) \subset\subset (M \setminus D)$, there exist constants C' and C'' such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\varepsilon,j} &\leq \frac{C'}{r^2}, \\ |Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)} &\leq \frac{C''}{r^4} \end{aligned}$$

on $[\eta,T]\times B_{\frac{r}{2}}(p)$, where constants C' and C'' depend only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, η, T, ω_0, F and $dist_{\omega_0}(B_r(p), D)$.

Proof: By Lemma 2.4, there exists a uniform constat C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, T, ω_0, F and $dist_{\omega_0}(B_r(p), D)$, such that

$$e^{-hC(t)}\omega_0 \leq \omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t) \leq e^{hC(t)}\omega_0, \quad on \quad B_r(p)\times(0,T]. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $r = r_0 > r_1' > \frac{r}{2}$ and ψ be a nonnegative C^∞ cut-off function that is identically equal to 1 on $\overline{B_{r_1(p)}}$ and vanishes outside $B_{r'}(p)$. We may assume that

$$|\partial\psi|^2_{\omega_0} \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \quad and \quad |\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\psi|_{\omega_0} \leq \frac{C}{r^2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Straightforward calculations show that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})(\psi^2 e^{-h_\alpha(t)} S_{\varepsilon,j}) \leq \frac{C}{r^2} e^{-\frac{h_\alpha(t)}{2}} S_{\varepsilon,j} + e^{-h_\alpha(t)} |\varphi_{ij}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + C, \tag{3.5}$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})(Ae^{-h_\beta(t)} \mathrm{tr}_{\,\omega_0}\omega_{\varepsilon,j}) \leq -Ae^{-h_c(t)-h_\beta(t)} S_{\varepsilon,j} + ACe^{\frac{-h_\beta(t)}{2}} + A, \tag{3.6}$$

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})(e^{-h_\gamma(t)} |\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_0}) \leq -e^{-h_c(t)-h_\gamma(t)} |\varphi_{ij}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + e^{-\frac{h_\gamma(t)}{2}} + C. \tag{3.7}$$

By choosing sufficiently large α, β, γ, A and using the maximum principle, we conclude that

$$S_{\varepsilon,j} \quad \leq \quad \frac{C'}{r^2} e^{h_\alpha(t)} \quad on \quad (0,T] \times B_{r_1'}(p).$$

Hence $\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}$ is uniformly bounded on $[\eta_1, T] \times \overline{B_{r_1}}$ with $0 < \eta_1 < \eta$ and $\frac{r}{2} < r_1 < r_1'$.

Now we prove that $|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}$ is uniformly bounded. On $[\eta_1, T] \times \overline{B_{r_1}}$, since $\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}$ is uniformly bounded,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} \\
\leq& C|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^3 + Ch_C(t)e^{h_C(t)}|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + \frac{Ch_C(t)e^{h_C(t)}}{r^2}|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} \\
&+ \frac{Ch_C(t)e^{h_C(t)}}{r^2}|\varphi_{ik}|_{\omega_0}|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + Ce^{h_C(t)}|\varphi_{ik}|^2_{\omega_0}|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} \\
\leq& C(|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^3_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} + 1 + \frac{1}{r^2}|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}) - |\nabla Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}} - |\overline{\nabla} Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where C depends on δ and T.

We fix a smaller radius r_2 satisfying $r_1 > r_2 > \frac{r}{2}$. Let ρ be a cut-off function identically equal to 1 on $\overline{B_{r_2}}(p)$ and identically equal to 0 outside B_{r_1}. We also let ρ satisfy

$$|\partial\rho|^2_{\omega_0},\ |\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\rho|_{\omega_0} \leq \frac{C}{r^2}$$

for some uniform constant C. From the former part we know that $S_{\varepsilon,j}$ is bounded on $[\eta_1, T] \times B_{r_1}(p)$. Let $K = \frac{\hat C}{r^2}$, $\hat C$ be constants which are large enough such that $\frac{K}{2} \leq K - S_{\varepsilon,j} \leq K$. We consider

$$F_{\varepsilon,j} = \rho^2 e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{t-\eta_1}} \frac{|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}}{K - S_{\varepsilon,j}} + Ae^{-\frac{2\beta}{t-\eta_1}} S_{\varepsilon,j}. \tag{3.9}$$

We only consider an inner point (t_0, x_0) which is a maximum point of $F_{\varepsilon,j}$ achieved on $[0,T] \times \overline{B_{r_1}(p)}$. By the similar arguments as that in Lemma 3.1 of [32], we have

$$(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta_{\varepsilon,j})F_{\varepsilon,j} \leq -\frac{A}{2}e^{-\frac{2\beta}{t-\delta_1}}Q + Ce^{-\frac{\alpha}{t-\delta_1}}Q + Ae^{-\frac{2\beta}{t-\delta_1}}\frac{C}{r^2}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $Q = |\nabla X|^2 + |\bar{\nabla} X|^2$. Now we choose $\alpha = 2\beta$ and $\frac{A}{2} = C + 1$, then

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha}{t-\eta_1}} Q \leq \frac{C}{r^2}$$

at (t_0, x_0). This implies that

$$|Rm_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)} \leq \frac{C_1}{r^4} e^{\frac{C_2}{t-\eta_1}}, \tag{3.11}$$

where C_1 and C_2 depend only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, T, $dist_{\omega_0}(B_r(p), D)$, η_1, F and ω_0. Hence we prove the lemma. □

Using the standard parabolic Schauder regularity theory [30], we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $0 < \eta < T$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $B_r(p) \subset\subset (M \setminus D)$, there exists a constant $C_{\eta,T,k,p,r}$ which depends only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, η, k, T, $dist_{\omega_0}(B_r(p), D)$, F and ω_0, such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$,*

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{C^k\left([\eta,T]\times B_r(p)\right)} \leq C_{\eta,T,k,p,r}. \tag{3.12}$$

Through a further observation to equation (1.4), we prove the monotonicity of $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ with respect to ε.

Proposition 3.3. *For any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times M$, $\varphi_\varepsilon(t, x)$ is monotonously decreasing as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.*

Proof: For any $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, let $\psi_{1,2}(t) = \varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(t) - \varphi_{\varepsilon_2}(t)$. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{1,2}}{\partial t} \leq \log \frac{(\omega_{\varphi_{\varepsilon_2}} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_{1,2}(t))^n}{\omega^n_{\varphi_{\varepsilon_2}}} + K|\psi_{1,2}|.$$

By the similar arguments as that in Proposition 2.7, for any $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times M$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\psi_{1,2}(t, x) \leq e^{Kt} \sup_M \psi_{1,2}(0, x) + Te^{KT}\delta = Te^{KT}\delta. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\delta \to 0$, we conclude that $\varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(t, x) \leq \varphi_{\varepsilon_2}(t, x)$. □

For any $[\delta, T] \times K \subset\subset (0, T] \times (M \setminus D)$ and $k \geq 0$, $\|\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\|_{C^k([\delta,T]\times K)}$ is uniformly bounded by Proposition 3.2. Let j approximate to ∞, we obtain that $\|\varphi_\varepsilon(t)\|_{C^k([\delta,T]\times K)}$ is uniformly bounded. Then let δ approximate to 0 and K approximate to $M \setminus D$, by the diagonal rule, we get a sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}$, such that $\varphi_{\varepsilon_i}(t)$ converges in C^∞_{loc} topology on $(0, T] \times (M \setminus D)$ to a function $\varphi(t)$ that is smooth on $C^\infty\big((0, T] \times (M \setminus D)\big)$ and satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F_0 + \beta\varphi(t) + \log |s|^{2(1-\beta)}_h \tag{3.14}$$

on $(0, T] \times (M \setminus D)$. Since $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ is decreasing as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we conclude that $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ converges in C^∞_{loc} topology on $(0, T] \times (M \setminus D)$ to $\varphi(t)$. Combining the above arguments with (3.1) and (3.2), for any $T > 0$, we have

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty\left((0,T]\times(M\setminus D)\right)} \leq C_1, \tag{3.15}$$

$$e^{-hC_2(t)}\omega_\beta \leq \omega(t) \leq e^{hC_2(t)}\omega_\beta \ \text{ on } (0, T] \times (M \setminus D), \tag{3.16}$$

where $\omega(t)=\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi(t)$, constants C_1 and C_2 depend only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β, n, ω_0, F and T. By the similar arguments as that in [31], we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *For any $t\in(0,T]$, $\varphi(t)$ is Hölder continuous on M with respect to the metric ω_0.*

Next, by using the monotonicity of $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ with respect to ε and constructing a auxiliary function, we prove the continuity of $\varphi(t)$ as $t\to 0^+$.

Proposition 3.5. *$\varphi(t)\in C^0([0,T]\times M)$ and*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\|\varphi(t)-\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}=0. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof: Through the above arguments, we only need prove the limit (3.17). By the monotonicity of $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ with respect to ε, for any $\epsilon>0$ and $(t,z)\in(0,T]\times M$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,z)-\varphi_0(z) &\leq \varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)\\ &\leq |\varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(t,z)-\varphi_{\varepsilon_1,j}(t,z)|+|\varphi_{\varepsilon_1,j}(t,z)-\varphi_{0,j}(z)|\\ &\quad +|\varphi_{0,j}(z)-\varphi_0(z)|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the same arguments as that in Proposition 2.8, for any $t\in(0,\delta_1]$ and $z\in M$,

$$\varphi(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)<\epsilon. \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, by S. Kolodziej's results [28], there exists a smooth solution $u_{\varepsilon,j}$ to the equation

$$(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial u_{\varepsilon,j})^n=e^{-F(0,z)-K\varphi_{0,j}+\widehat{C}}\frac{\omega_0^n}{(\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2)^{1-\beta}}, \tag{3.20}$$

and $u_{\varepsilon,j}$ satisfies

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{L^\infty(M)}\leq C, \tag{3.21}$$

where $\hat{C}$ is a uniform normalization constant independent of ε and j, the constant C depends only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, β and F.

We define

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)=(1-te^{Kt})\varphi_{0,j}+te^{Kt}u_{\varepsilon,j}+h(t)e^{Kt}-m\|\varphi_{0,j}\|t-l|\widehat{C}|t, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}h(t)=&-t\|\varphi_{0,j}\|_{L^\infty}-t\|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{L^\infty}+n(t\log t-t)e^{-Kt}\\ &+Kn\int_0^t e^{-Ks}s\log s ds-\frac{\widehat{C}}{K}e^{-Kt}+\frac{\widehat{C}}{K},\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

m,l should be choosen later. Straightforward calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t}-F(\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t),z)\leq&K\|\varphi_{0,j}\|_{L^\infty(M)}+Kmt\|\varphi_{0,j}\|_{L^\infty(M)}+Klt|\widehat{C}|-\widehat{C}+n\log t\\ &+2\widehat{C}e^{Kt}-F(0,z)-m\|\varphi_{0,j}\|_{L^\infty(M)}-l|\widehat{C}|+nKt\\ \leq&-F(0,z)+n\log t+\widehat{C}+nKt-K\varphi_{0,j},\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

when t is sufficiently small and m, l are sufficiently large. Combining the above inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n \geq& t^n e^{nKt}(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial u_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n\\ \geq& e^{\frac{\partial\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t}-F(\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t),z)}\frac{\omega_0^n}{(\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2)^{1-\beta}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t}\leq\log\dfrac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n}{\omega_0^n}+F(\Psi_{\varepsilon,j}(t),z)+\log(\varepsilon^2+|s|_h^2)^{1-\beta},\\ \Psi(0)=\varphi_{0,j}.\end{cases}\tag{3.26}$$

By the similar arguments as that in the proof of Proposition 2.7, for any $(t,z)\in[0,T]\times M$,

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)-\varphi_{0,j}\geq -te^{Kt}\varphi_{0,j}+te^{Kt}u_{\varepsilon,j}-mt\|\varphi_{0,j}\|-lt|\widehat{C}|+h(t)e^{Kt}.\tag{3.27}$$

Let $j\to\infty$ and then $\varepsilon\to 0$, we have

$$\varphi(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)\geq -Cte^{Kt}-Ct+h(t)e^{Kt}.\tag{3.28}$$

There exists δ_2 such that for any $t\in[0,\delta_2]$,

$$\varphi(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)>-\epsilon.\tag{3.29}$$

Let $\delta=\min(\delta_1,\delta_2)$, then for any $t\in(0,\delta]$ and $z\in M$,

$$-\epsilon<\varphi(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)<\epsilon.\tag{3.30}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Now we are ready to prove the uniqueness of the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) starting with $\varphi_0\in\mathcal{E}_p(M,\omega_0)$ for some $p>1$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\varphi_i(t)\in C^0\big([0,T]\times M\big)\bigcap C^\infty\big((0,T]\times(M\setminus D)\big)$ $(i=1,2)$ be two solutions to the parabolic Monge-Ampère equation*

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_i(t)}{\partial t}=\log\frac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_i(t))^n}{\omega_0^n}+F(\varphi_i(t),z)+\log|s|_h^{2(1-\beta)}\tag{3.31}$$

on $(0,T]\times(M\setminus D)$. If φ_i $(i=1,2)$ satisfy

- *For any $0<\delta<T$, there exists a uniform constant C such that*
$$C^{-1}\omega_\beta\leq\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_i(t)\leq C\omega_\beta$$
on $[\delta,T]\times(M\setminus D)$;
- *On $[\delta,T]$, there exist constants $\alpha>0$ and C^* such that $\varphi_i(t)$ is C^α on M with respect to ω_0 and $\|\frac{\partial\varphi_i(t)}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty(M\setminus D)}\leqslant C^*$;*
- $\lim\limits_{t\to0^+}\|\varphi_i(t)-\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}=0$.

Then $\varphi_1=\varphi_2$.

Proof: We apply Jeffres' trick [26] in the parabolic case. For any $0<t_1<T$ and $a>0$, let $\phi_1(t)=\varphi_1(t)+a|s|_h^{2q}$, where $0<q<1$ is determined later. The evolution equation of ϕ_1 is

$$\frac{\partial\phi_1(t)}{\partial t}=\log\frac{(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_1(t))^n}{\omega_0^n}+F(\varphi_1(t),z)+\log|s|_h^{2(1-\beta)}.$$

Denote $\psi(t)=\phi_1(t)-\varphi_2(t)$ and $\hat{\Delta}=\int_0^1 g^{i\bar{j}}_{s\varphi_1+(1-s)\varphi_2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^i\partial\bar{z}^j}ds$, $\psi(t)$ evolves along the following equation

$$\frac{\partial\psi(t)}{\partial t}=\hat{\Delta}\psi(t)-a\hat{\Delta}|s|_h^{2q}+F(\varphi_1(t),z)-F(\varphi_2(t),z).$$

By the arguments as that in [31], we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\psi(t)}{\partial t}\leq\hat{\Delta}\psi(t)+K|\psi|+aC.$$

Let $\tilde{\psi}=e^{-K(t-t_1)}\psi+\frac{aC}{K}e^{-K(t-t_1)}-\epsilon(t-t_1)$. By choosing suitable $0<q<1$, we can assume that the space maximum of $\tilde{\psi}$ on $[t_1,T]\times M$ is attained away from D. Let (t_0,x_0) be the maximum point. If $t_0>t_1$ and $\psi(t_0,x_0)>0$, by the maximum principle, at (t_0,x_0), we have

$$0\leq(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\hat{\Delta})\tilde{\psi}(t)\leq-\epsilon,$$

which is impossible, hence $t_0=t_1$ or $t_0>t_1$ and $\psi(t_0,x_0)\leq 0$. Then for $(t,x)\in[t_1,T]\times M$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,x) &\leq e^{KT}\|\varphi_1(t_1,x)-\varphi_2(t_1,x)\|_{L^\infty(M)}\\ &\quad+aCe^{KT}+\epsilon Te^{KT}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $a\to 0$ and then $t_1\to 0^+$, we get

$$\varphi_1(t)-\varphi_2(t)\leq\epsilon Te^{\beta T}.$$

It shows that $\varphi_1(t)\leq\varphi_2(t)$ after we let $\epsilon\to 0$. By the same reason we have $\varphi_2(t)\leq\varphi_1(t)$, then we prove that $\varphi_1(t)=\varphi_2(t)$. □

Next, we prove the uniform gradient estimates and Laplacian estimates of $\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $M\times[\eta,T]$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $0<\eta<T$, there exists a uniform constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, η, ω_0, F and T, such that for any $t\in[\eta,T]$, $\varepsilon>0$ and $j\in\mathbb{N}^+$, we have*

$$|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}(t)} \leq C, \tag{3.32}$$

$$|\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)| \leq C. \tag{3.33}$$

Proof: As the computations in [31], we have

$$(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\leq C|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}-|\nabla\overline{\nabla}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}-|\nabla\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+C. \tag{3.34}$$

Set $H_{\varepsilon,j}=(t-\frac{\eta}{2})|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+A\dot{\varphi}^2_{\varepsilon,j}$. Assume that the maximum point of $H_{\varepsilon,j}$ on $[\frac{\eta}{2},T]$ is (t_0,x_0) and $t_0>\frac{\eta}{2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})H_{\varepsilon,t}\leq& Ct|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2+(1-2A)|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+2A\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}F'(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j},z)\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}+Ct\\ \leq&-|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+C,\end{aligned}$$

where $2A=CT+2$. By the maximum principle, we have

$$|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}\leq\frac{C}{t-\frac{\eta}{2}}. \tag{3.35}$$

Straightforward calculations show that

$$(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\leq -|\nabla\overline{\nabla}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+F'\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)+C|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+C.$$

Let $G_{\varepsilon,j}=(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})+2(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}$. Assume that the minmum point of $G_{\varepsilon,j}$ on $[\frac{\eta}{2},T]$ is (t_0,x_0), $t_0>\frac{\eta}{2}$ and $-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}(t_0,x_0)<0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta)G_{\varepsilon,j}\geq&(t-\frac{\eta}{2})(2+(t-\frac{\eta}{2})F')(-\Delta\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})+|\nabla\overline{\nabla}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2-C\\ \geq&(t-\frac{\eta}{2})C(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})+\frac{(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2}{n}(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})^2-C\\ =&\big(\frac{(t-\frac{\eta}{2})(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\sqrt{n}C}{2}\big)^2-\frac{C^2n}{4}-C.\end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, we get

$$(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})\geq -C. \tag{3.36}$$

On the other hand, we set $\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon,j}=(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})+2(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2|\nabla\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}$. Assume that the maximum point of $\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon,j}$ on $[\frac{\eta}{2},T]$ is (t_0,x_0), $t_0>0$ and $-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}(t_0,x_0)>0$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon,j}\leq&(t-\frac{\eta}{2})(2+(t-\frac{\eta}{2})F')(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})-2(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2|\nabla\overline{\nabla}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}|^2_{\omega_{\varepsilon,j}}+C(t-\frac{\eta}{2})\\ \leq&C(t-\frac{\eta}{2})(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})-2(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2\frac{(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})^2}{n}+C(t-\frac{\eta}{2}).\end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, we get

$$(t-\frac{\eta}{2})^2(-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j}\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j})\leq C. \tag{3.37}$$

At last, we prove the regularity along the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2).

Theorem 3.8. *The solution $\varphi(t,\cdot)$ of the equation (1.2) is $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha\in(0,\min\{1,\frac{1}{\beta}-1\})$ when $t\in(0,T]$.*

Proof : Fix $t>0$. We assume that $t\in[\eta,T]$ for some $0<\eta<T$. By (3.16), we know that $|\Delta_{\omega_\beta}\varphi(t)|$ is uniformly bounded by some constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, η, F and T. By Proposition 2.7, we obtain that $|\nabla\varphi(t)|_{\omega(t)}$ is bounded. By the Lemma 4.6 in [31], Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.7 and (3.16), we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^1(M)}\leq C,\quad \|\dot{\varphi}\|_{C^1(M)}\leq C,\quad |\Delta_{\omega(t)}\dot{\varphi}|\leq C,\quad \frac{1}{C}\omega_\beta\leq\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(t)\leq C\omega_\beta,$$

where the C^1-norm and Laplacian are taken with respect to $\omega(t)$, and the constant C depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}$, n, β, ω_0, η, F and T. Since $\omega_\beta\geq\gamma\omega_0$ for some positive constant γ, we have

$$|\nabla F(\varphi(t,z),z)|_{\omega(t)}\leq C\quad \textit{and}\quad n-C\gamma^{-1}n\leq\Delta_{\omega(t)}\varphi\leq n. \tag{3.38}$$

At the same time, there exists a constant C such that

$$|\Delta_{\omega(t)}F(\varphi(t,z),z)|\leq C. \tag{3.39}$$

Now we consider the parabolic Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega_0+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n=e^{\dot{\varphi}-F(\varphi(t,z),z)}\frac{\omega_0^n}{|s|_h^{2(1-\beta)}}. \tag{3.40}$$

If we use Tian's theorem in [45] directly, we need prove that $\Delta_{\omega_\beta}\dot{\varphi}$ is bounded from below. But here we can only obtain the lower bound of $\Delta_{\omega(t)}\dot{\varphi}$, so we need a modification of Tian's theorem. Now replacing the base metric ω_β and the covariant derivatives with respect to ω_β in Tian's theorem [45] by $\omega(t)$ and ordinary partial derivatives respectively, we can conclude that for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{\beta}-1\})$, $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is C^α-bounded with respect to $\omega(t)$ by following Tian's discussion. Since this process is very similar to the one in [45], we omit the details here. Combining with the equivalence between $\omega(t)$ and ω_β, we know that the unique solution to the conical parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) is $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \min\{1, \frac{1}{\beta}-1\})$ when $t \in (0, T]$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, combining the arguments in section 2, 3 with [39], we prove Theorem 1.4. By Kolodziej's results [27, 28], we know that φ_0 is Hölder continuous on M and there exists a continuous solution ψ_ε to equation

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon)^n = c_\varepsilon e^{-F(\varphi_0, z)} \frac{\omega_0^n}{(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)^{1-\beta}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\sup_M(\varphi_0 - \psi_\varepsilon) = \sup_M(\psi_\varepsilon - \varphi_0)$, c_ε is the normalized constant and it is uniformly bounded. Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a sequence of smooth functions such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\varphi_j - \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

By Yau's results [49], there exists a smooth function $\psi_{\varepsilon,j}$ such that

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_{\varepsilon,j})^n = c_{\varepsilon,j} e^{-F(\varphi_j(z), z)} \frac{\omega_0^n}{(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2)^{1-\beta}}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\sup_M(\psi_\varepsilon - \psi_{\varepsilon,j}) = \sup_M(\psi_{\varepsilon,j} - \psi_\varepsilon)$, $c_{\varepsilon,j}$ is the normalized constant and it is uniformly bounded. By the stability theorem in [29] (see also [14] or [22]),

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\psi_{\varepsilon,j} - \psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\psi_\varepsilon - \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4.1. *There exist uniform T such that the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)}{\partial t} = \log \dfrac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t), z), \\ \qquad\qquad + (1-\beta)\log(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2) - \log c_{\varepsilon,j} + \log c_\varepsilon \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(0) = \psi_{\varepsilon,j} \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

admits a unique smooth solution $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ on $[0,T]\times M$ for any ε and j. The solutions $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)\}$ converge to the unique solution $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ of equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(t)}{\partial t} = \log \dfrac{(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\varepsilon(t))^n}{\omega_0^n} + F(\varphi_\varepsilon(t), z) + (1-\beta)\log(\varepsilon^2 + |s|_h^2), \\ \varphi_\varepsilon(0) = \psi_\varepsilon \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

in $L^\infty([0,T]\times M)$ *and* $C^\infty([\eta,T]\times M)$ *sense for any* $0<\eta<T$. *Furthermore,* $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ *converge to* $\varphi(t)$ *which is the solution of equation* (1.2) *with initial data* φ_0 *in* $C^\infty_{loc}((0,T]\times(M\setminus D))$ *sense.*

Proof: The proof is similar as that in the section 2 and 3. We only prove the last result. By the arguments in section 3, we conclude that $\varphi_\varepsilon(t)$ converges to a function $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$ in $C^\infty_{loc}((0,T]\times(M\setminus D))$. We prove $\tilde{\varphi}(t)=\varphi(t)$ by using the uniqueness Theorem 3.6. By checking the steps in section 3, we know that all the arguments are valid except Proposition 3.3. So we only need prove inequality (3.19). By the similar arguments as that in Proposition 2.7, for any $\varepsilon_1<\varepsilon_2$, $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times M$ and $\delta>0$, we have

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(t,x)-\varphi_{\varepsilon_2}(t,x)\leq e^{KT}\|\psi_{\varepsilon_1}-\psi_{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^\infty(M)}+Te^{KT}\delta. \tag{4.8}$$

For any $t>0$, we let $\delta\to 0$ and then $\varepsilon_1\to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}(t,x)-\varphi_0 &\leq \varphi_{\varepsilon_2}(t,x)+e^{KT}\|\varphi_0-\psi_{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^\infty(M)}-\varphi_0\\ &\leq |\varphi_{\varepsilon_2}(t,z)-\varphi_{\varepsilon_2,j}(t,z)|+|\varphi_{\varepsilon_2,j}(t,z)-\psi_{\varepsilon_2,j}(z)|\\ &\quad +|\psi_{\varepsilon_2,j}(z)-\psi_{\varepsilon_2}|+|\psi_{\varepsilon_2}-\varphi_0(z)|+e^{KT}\|\varphi_0-\psi_{\varepsilon_2}\|_{L^\infty(M)}.\end{aligned}$$

By choosing suitable ε_2, j and δ_1, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t,z)-\varphi_0(z)<\epsilon \quad for\ any\ (t,x)\in(0,\delta_1]\times M. \tag{4.9}$$

Then by constructing auxiliary function as that in Proposition 3.5,

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\|\tilde{\varphi}(t)-\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(M)}=0. \tag{4.10}$$

By the uniqueness Theorem 3.6, we have $\tilde{\varphi}(t)=\varphi(t)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4**:** Differentiating the equation (4.6) we obtain

$$(\frac{d}{dt}-\Delta_{\varepsilon,j})\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)=F'(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t),z)\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t). \tag{4.11}$$

Since $F'(\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t),z)$ is bounded as long as $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(t)$ is bounded, from the maximum principle we get

$$\sup_M|\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t)|\leq e^{Kt}\sup_M|\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(0)|\ for\ any\ t\in[0,T], \tag{4.12}$$

where K depends on β, n, ω_0, F, T and $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$. Since

$$\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(0)=F(\psi_{\varepsilon,j},z)-F(\varphi_j(z),z)+\log c_\varepsilon, \tag{4.13}$$

for any $(t,z)\in(0,T]\times(M\setminus D)$, we have

$$|\dot{\varphi}_{\varepsilon,j}(t,z)|\leq e^{KT}(|F(\psi_{\varepsilon,j},z)-F(\varphi_j(z),z)|+|\log c_\varepsilon|). \tag{4.14}$$

Let $j\to\infty$ and then $\varepsilon\to 0$, by Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\dot{\varphi}(t,z)=0\ on\ (0,T]\times(M\setminus D). \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\varphi(t,z)$ is continuous on $[0,T]\times M$, it follows that $\varphi(t,z)=\varphi_0$ for all t. By Theorem 1.2, φ_0 is $C^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha\in(0,\min\{1,\frac{1}{\beta}-1\})$. □

References

[1] *T. Aubin*, Équation du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés Kählerienes compactes, Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Sances de l'Acadmie des Sciences. Sries A et B, **283** (1976), A119–A121.

[2] *Z. Blocki*, A gradient estimate in the Calabi-Yau theorem, Mathematische Annalen, **344** (2009), 317–327.

[3] *L. A. Caffarelli*, *J. J. Kohn*, *L. Nirenberg*, *J. Spruck*, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, II. Complex Monge-Ampére and uniformly elliptic equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **38** (1985), 209–252.

[4] *H. D. Cao*, Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds, Inventiones Mathematicae. **81** (1985), 359–372.

[5] E. Calabi, On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class, Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz. **12** (1957), 78–89.

[6] *F. Campana*, *H. Guenancia* and *M. Păun*, Metrics with cone singularities along normal crossing divisors and holomorphic tensor fields, Annales scientifiques de l$'$*É*. NS. **46** (2013), 879–916.

[7] *X. X. Chen*, *S. Donaldson* and *S. Sun*, Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, **28** (2015), 183–197.

[8] *X. X. Chen*, *S. Donaldson* and *S Sun*, Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano man- ifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than 2π, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, **28** (2015), 199–234.

[9] *X. X. Chen*, *S. Donaldson*, *S. Sun*, Kähler-Einstein metric on Fano man- ifolds, III: limits with cone angle approaches 2π and completion of the main proof, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, **28** (2015), 235–278.

[10] *X. X. Chen* and *Y. Q. Wang*, Bessel functions, Heat kernel and the Conical Kähler-Ricci flow, Journal of Functional Analysis, **269** (2015), 551–632.

[11] *X. X. Chen* and *Y. Q. Wang*, On the long-time behaviour of the Conical Kähler- Ricci flows, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik.

[12] *J. J. Chu*, $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularities and estimates for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations in geometry. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, **55** (2016), 1–20.

[13] *S. Dinew*, *X. Zhang* and *X. W. Zhang*, The $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimate of complex Monge-Ampère equation, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **60** (2011), 1713–1722.

[14] *S. Dinew* and *Z. Zhang*, On stability and continuity of bounded solutions of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds, Advances in Mathematics, **225** (2010), 367–388.

[15] *G. Edwards*, A scalar curvature bound along the conical Kähler-Ricci flow, arXiv: 1505.02083.

[16] *B. Guan*, The Dirichlet problem for complex Monge-Ampère equations and regularity of the pluri-complex Green function, Communications in Analysis and Geometry, **6** (1998), 687–703, a correct, **8** (2000), 213–218.

[17] *B. Guan* and *Q. Li*, Complex Monge-Ampère equations and totally real submanifolds, Advances in Mathematics, **225** (2010),1185–1223.

[18] *B. Guan* and *Q. Li*, A Monge-Ampère type fully nonlinear equation on Hermitian manifolds, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B. A Journal Bridging Mathematics and Sciences, **17** (2012), 1991–1999.

[19] *P. F. Guan*, Extremal functions related to intrinsic norms, Annals of Mathematics, **156** (2002), 197–211.

[20] *P. F. Guan*, Remarks on the homogeneous complex Monge-Ampère equation, in: Proceedings of Conference in Complex Analysis, in Honor of Linda Rothchild, Fribourg, (2008), in press.

[21] *P. F. Guan*, A gradient estimate for complex Monge-Ampère equation, unpublished.

[22] *V. Guedj* and *A. Zeriahi*, Stability of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes, Mathematical Research Letters, **19** (2012), 1025–1042.

[23] *H. Guenancia* and *M. Păun*, Conic singularities metrics with perscribed Ricci curvature: the case of general cone angles along normal crossing divisors, Journal of Differential Geometry, **103** (2016), 15-57.

[24] *R. S. Hamilton*, Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator, Journal of Differential Geometry, **24** (1986),153–179.

[25] *A. Hanani*, Équations du type de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés hermitiennes compactes, Journal of functional analysis, **137** (1996), 49–75.
[26] *T. Jeffres*, Uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein cone metrics, Publicacions Matemàtiques **44** (2000), 437–448.
[27] *S. Kolodziej*, The complex Monge-Ampère equation, Acta Mathematica, **180** (1998), 69–117.
[28] *S. Kolodziej*, Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p: the case of compact Kähler manifolds, Mathematische Annalen, (2008), 379–386.
[29] *S. Kolodziej*, The Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **52** (2003), 667–686.
[30] *G. Lieberman*, Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore New Jersey London Hong Kong, 1996.
[31] *J. W. Liu* and *X. Zhang*, The conical Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds, arXiv: 1402.1832.
[32] *J. W. Liu* and *X. Zhang*, The conical Kähler-Ricci flow with weak initial data on Fano manifold, International Mathematics Research Notices 2016; doi:10.1093/imrn/rnw171.
[33] *J. W. Morgan* and *G. Tian*, Ricci flow and the Poincaré conjecture, American Mathematical Society, 2007.
[34] *D. Phong*, *N. Sesum* and *J. Sturm*, Multiplier ideal sheaves and the Kähler-Ricci flow, Communications in Analysis and Geometry, **15** (2007), 613–632.
[35] *D. Phong*, *J. Song*, *J. Sturm* and *B. Weinkove*, The Kähler-Ricci flow and the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on vector fields, Journal of Differential Geometry, **81** (2009), 631–647.
[36] *D. Phong*, *J. Song* and *J. Sturm*, Complex Monge-Ampère equations, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. XVII, 327–410, Surveys in Differential Geometry, 17, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 2012.
[37] *D. Phong*, *J. Sturm*, On stability and the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow, Journal of Differential Geometry, **72** (2006), 149–168.
[38] *G. Székelyhidi*, Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds, arXiv:1501.02762.
[39] *G. Sźekelyhidi* and *V. Tosatti*, Regularity of weak solutions of a complex Monge-Ampère equation, Analysis & PDE, **4** (2011), 369–378.
[40] *L. M. Shen*, Unnormalize conical Kähler-Ricci flow, arXiv: 1411.7284.
[41] *L. M. Shen*, $C^{2,\alpha}$-estimate for conical Kähler-Ricci flow, arXiv: 1412.2420.
[42] *J. Song* and *G. Tian*, The Kähler-Ricci flow through singularities, arXiv: 0909.4898.
[43] *G. Tian*, On the existence of solutions of a class of Monge-Ampère equations, A Chinese summary appears in Acta Math. Sinica **32** (1989), 576. Acta Mathematica Sinica. New Series, **4** (1988), 250–265.
[44] *G. Tian*, K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **68** (2015), 1085–1156.
[45] *G. Tian*, A 3rd derivative estimate for conical Kähler metrics, preprint.
[46] *G. Tian* and *X. H. Zhu*, Convergence of Kähler-Ricci flow, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, **20** (2007), 675–699.
[47] *Y. Wang*, On the $C^{2,\alpha}$-regularity of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, Mathematical Research Letters, **19** (2012), 939–946.
[48] *Y. Q. Wang*, Smooth approximations of the conical Kähler- Ricci flows, arXiv: 1401.5040.
[49] *S. T. Yau*, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation I. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **31** (1978) 339–411.
[50] *X. Zhang*, A priori estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds, International Mathematics Research Notices, **19** (2010), 3814–3836.

Jiawei Liu, Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China,
E-mail address: jwliu@math.pku.edu.cn

Chuanjing Zhang, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P.R. China,
E-mail address: chjzhang@mail.ustc.edu.cn